



of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering VOLUME 20 ISSUES 1-2 January-February 2007

Analysis of intermetallic particles in AlSi1MgMn aluminium alloy

G. Mrówka-Nowotnik*, J. Sieniawski, M. Wierzbińska

Department of Materials Science, Rzeszów University of Technology,

ul. W. Pola 2, 35-959 Rzeszow, Poland

* Corresponding author: E-mail address: mrowka@prz.edu.pl

Received 27.10.2006; accepted in revised form 15.11.2006

Materials

<u>ABSTRACT</u>

Purpose: The main objective of this study was to analyze the morphology and composition of complex microstructure of the intermetallic phases in AlSi1Mg alloy.

Design/methodology/approach: In this study, several methods were used such as: optical light microscopy (LM), transmission (TEM) and scanning (SEM) electron microscopy in combination with X-ray analysis (EDS) using polished sample, and X-ray diffraction (XRD) to identify intermetallics in AlSi1MgMn aluminium alloy. **Findings:** The results show that the as-cast microstructure of AlSi1MgMn alloy after slow solidification at a cooling rate 2°C/min, consisted a wide range of intermetallics phases. By using various instruments (LM, SEM, XRD) and techniques (imagine, EDS) the: α -Al₁₅FeSi, α -Al₁₅(FeMn)₃Si, Al₉Mn₃Si, α -Al₁₂Fe₃Si, Mg₂Si intermetallic phases were identified.

Research limitations/implications: In order to complete and confirm obtained results it is recommended to perform further analysis of the 6000 series aluminium alloys. Therefore it is planned to include in a next study, microstructure analysis of the alloy by using transmission electron microscopy technique (TEM).

Practical implications: Since the morphology, crystallography and chemical composition affect the intermetallic properties, what involves changes of alloy properties, from a practical point of view it is important to understand their formation conditions in order to control final constituents of the alloy microstructure. This paper has provided essential data about various intermetallic phases precipitating in 6000 series aluminium alloys.

Originality/value: This paper proposes the best experimental techniques for analysis of the intermetallic phases occurring in the 6xxx series aluminium alloys, provide wide description of the intermetallics formation through all possible reactions and data about their chemical composition, morphology and crystallography. **Keywords:** Metallic alloys; Microstructure; Electron microscopy; Intermetallic phases

1. Introduction

The 6xxx-group contains magnesium and silicon as major addition elements. These multiphase alloys belong to the group of commercial aluminum alloys, in which relative volume, chemical composition and morphology of structural constituents exert significant influence on their useful properties [1-5].

In the commercial 6xxx aluminium alloys a wide range of intermetallic particles formed during solidification - in the interdendric regions, homogenisation and termomechanical processing [6]. In this aluminium alloys besides the intentional additions, transition metals such as Fe, Mn and Cr are always present. Even not large amount of these impurities causes the formation of a new phase component. The exact composition of the alloy and the casting condition will directly influence the selection and volume fraction of intermetallic phases [4].

Fe- bearing constituent phases typically found in 6xxx series alloys include of β -Al₃Fe₂Si₂ (also called β -Al₅FeSi) or α -Al₁₂Fe₃Si. However Mn and Cr can substitute for Fe, and stabilize the formation of α -Al₁₂(FeMn)₃Si or α -Al₁₂(FeCr)₃Si [3,7-8].

2. Material and experimental

The investigation has been carried out on the commercial AlSi1MgMn aluminum alloy. The chemical composition of the alloy is: 1.2%Si, 0.78%Mg, 0.5%Mn, 0.33%Fe, 0.14%Cr, 0.08%Cu, 0.05%Zn, Al bal. The alloy was melted in an electrical resistance furnace and cast in an iron mould. The specimens were remelted at 750°C in ceramic crucible in air furnace. After being kept for 10 min at the temperature for melting the material entirely homogenizing the composition. The moltens were than cooled in the furnance at the cooling rate of 2°C/min.

The microstructure of examined alloy was observed using an optical microscope - Nikon 300 on polished sections etched in Keller solution (0,5 % HF in 50ml H_2O). Morphology of specimens was made in the scanning electron microscope HITACHI S-3400 (SEM). Chemical composition of the intermetallics was made by EDS attached to the SEM using the software of Thermo Noran. Quantitative analysis of the microstructure components of AlSi1MgMn alloy was performed by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Thermo ARL diffractometer).

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 presents as-cast microstructures of AlSi1MgMn alloy. These images show fife types of intermetallic compounds located at the grain boundaries. These intermetallic particles had different morphologies, such as platelet, rod, polyhydron or "Chinese script".



Fig. 1. As-cast microstructure of AlSi1MgMn alloy

Since it is rather difficult to produce detailed identification of intermetallic using only one method (e.g. microscopic examination) therefore XRD technique was utilized to provide confidence in the results of phase classification based on metallographic study. The results of this investigation are showed in Fig. 2. X-ray diffraction analysis of AlSi1MgMn alloy confirmed metalograffic observation. By combination of the XRD results and LM observation (morphology and different colours after eatching of Keller solution), these intermetallic phases were identified as a: β -Al₃FeSi (dark phase), α -Al₁₅(FeMn)₃Si (grey phase), Al₉Mn₃Si, α -Al₁₂Fe₃Si, Mg₂Si (black phase) (Fig. 1).



Fig. 2. XRD pattern of AlSi1MgMn alloy at the as-cast state



b)



Fig. 3. As-cast microstructure of AlSi1MgMn alloy: a) the ternary eutectic, b) the quaternary eutectic

The alloy in as-cast state consists some complex eutectic structures as well (Fig. 3). Moreover the spherical in shape eutectic particles were also observed (Fig. 3b). It was found that three phases, namely: β -Al₅FeSi, Mg₂Si and α -Al(FeMn)Si and Si are present in the α -Al matrix. These intermetallics grew together into a eutectic cluster (Fig. 3).

During slow cooling rate 2°C/min after casting, when the eutectic composition was reached at the interface front the eutectic reaction $L \rightarrow \alpha$ -Al + β -Al₃FeSi followed [9]. According to the research on the solidification processing of this series alloy the precipitation temperature of β -Al₃FeSi phase is about 570°C were there is about 10% liquid [9]. The β -Al₃FeSi with monoclinic crystal structure precipitates in the interdendritic regions generally as a plate-like morphology (Fig. 4).



Fig. 4. Morphology of plate-like β-Al₅FeSi intermetallic phase

It is difficult to distinguish the intermetallic phases e.g. the β -Al₅FeSi, using classical metallographic technique. Therefore, besides light optical microscopy examination, SEM observation connected with EDS analysis of deep etched section were also made. The results of EDS analysis made on the coarse intermetallic phases are present in Fig. 5. and Table 1. Comparison of selected reference data to the results obtained by EDS scans showed good agreement between them (Table 1).

Table 1.

Composition of the observed intermetallic phases (%wt)

Phase	Si	Fe	Mn	References
β-Al₅FeSi	12-15	25-30		[10]
	12,2	25		[11]
	14,59	27,75		[9]
	13-16	23-26		This work
α-Al(FeMn)Si	10-12	10-15	15-20	[10]
	5,5-6,5	5,1-27,9	14-24,7	[12]
	5-7	10-13	19-23	[9]
	8-12	11-13	14-20	This work

The β -Al₃FeSi phase was also observed as a ternary and a quaternary eutectic component (Fig. 3). The β -Al₃FeSi phase during solidification can precipitates as a product of eutectic or perytectic reaction. According to literature the following reactions are expected during solidification and melting of 6xxx aluminium alloys:

$$L \rightarrow \alpha - Al + \beta - Al_5 FeSi$$

 $L \rightarrow \alpha$ -Al + β -AlFeSi + Mg₂Si

 $L + \alpha \text{-}AlFeSi \rightarrow \alpha \text{-}Al + \beta \text{-}Al_5FeSi$

The β -Al₅FeSi phase with a plate-like morphology weaken the ductility and workability of 6xxx alloys. Due to the detrimental effect of the β -Al₅FeSi phase, their formation is avoided trough additions of alloying elements, mainly of Mn and Be [13]. They alter the composition and morphology of β -plates into "Chinesse script", found mostly inside α -Al dendrites.

Microscopic examination of the as-cast microstructure revealed the presence of Mg₂Si phase particles (Fig. 1, 3). The Mg₂Si phase can be readily identified according to its colour and morphology. Darker Mg₂Si particles, in the as-cast state after slow cooling rate - 2°C/min, precipitate in the form of lamellar or "Chinese script" structure (Fig. 5). These intermetallic phases are the products of binary eutectic reactions $L \rightarrow \alpha$ -Al + Mg₂Si which occur at temperature ~577°C [10]. When the eutectic composition is reached at the interface front, the ternary eutectic reactions $L \rightarrow \alpha$ -Al + β -AlFeSi + Mg₂Si take place (Fig. 6).



b)

c)





Fig. 5. The eutectic microstructure of α -Al + Mg₂Si (a) LM, (b) SEM micrograph, c) EDS spectra



Fig. 6. Microstructure of AlSi1MgMn alloy formed through the ternary eutectic reactions $L \rightarrow \alpha$ -Al + β -AlFeSi + Mg₂Si

The LM and SEM observation connected with EDS clearly confirmed that the Fe-rich intermetallics, in the investigated AlSi1MgMn alloy, were mainly of the α -Al₁₅(FeMn)₃Si type. The α -Al₁₅(FeMn)₃Si phase, also known as α -Al₈Fe₂Si phase have a cubic structure and a compact morphology e.g. polyhedron (Fig. 7), which does not initiate cracks in the cast material to the same extent as the β -Al₃FeSi despite its elevated hardness. When Mn and Mg are added to 6xxx alloys, e.g. AlSi1MgMn alloy, the α -Al₁₅(FeMn)₃Si is formed during solidification at the main eutectic and perytectic reaction [14]:

 $L \rightarrow \alpha$ -Al + α -Al₁₅(FeMn)₃Si

 $L \rightarrow \alpha$ -Al + α -Al₁₅(FeMn)₃Si + Mg₂Si

 $L+Al_6Mn(Fe) \rightarrow \alpha$ -AlMnFeSi+ α -Al

The α -Al₁₅(FeMn)₃Si phase shows, in contrast to the β -Al₅FeSi, some variations in composition and quite different morfologies depending on the cooling condition [15]. At low cooling rates the α -Al₁₅(FeMn)₃Si phase is formed as a primary crystals. When cooling rate increases, those crystals changing morphology to a typical "Chinese script" form (Fig.1, Fig.7) or to a fine eutectic structure (Fig. 3).

a)



b)



Fig. 7. "Chinese script" and columnar (a) morphologies of α -Al₁₅(FeMn)₃Si intermetalic phase, b) EDS spectra

4.Conclusions

The alloy used in this study possessed a complex as-cast microstructure. By using various instruments (LM, SEM, XRD) and techniques (imagine, EDS) a wide range of intermetallics phases were identified. After slow solidification at a cooling rate 2° C/min, the as-cast microstructure included six phases, namely: α -Al, β -Al₃FeSi, α -Al₁₅(FeMn)₃Si, Al₉Mn₃Si, Mg₂Si and Si. Depending on the composition and cooling rate of the alloy, the complex binary, ternary and quaternary eutectic structure in the solidified zone were also observed.

Acknowledgements

This work was carried out with the financial support of the Ministry of Science and Information Society Technologies under grant No. 3T08B 078 27.

References

- [1] C.D. Marioara, S.J. Andersen, J. Jansen, H.W. Zandbergen, The influence of temperature and storage time at RT on nucleation of the β " phase in a 6082 Al-Mg-Si alloy, Acta Mater 51 (2003) 789-796.
- [2] N.C.W. Kuijpers, W.H. Kool, P.T.G. Koenis, K.E. Nilsen, I. Todd, S. van der Zwaag, Assessment of diffrent techniques for quantification of α -Al(FeMn)Si and β -AlFeSi intermetallics in AA 6xxx alloys, Materials Characterization 49 (2003) 409-420.
- [3] Aluminium. Properties and Physical Metallurgy. Ed. Hatch J.E., ASM Metals Park, Ohio 1984.
- [4] S. Zajac, B. Bengtsson, Ch. Jönsson, Influence of cooling after homogenization and reheating to extrusion on extrudability and final properties of AA 6063 and AA 6082 alloys, Materials Science Forum, 396-402 (2002) 399-404.
- [5] G. Mrówka-Nowotnik, J. Sieniawski, Influence of heat treatment on the micrustructure and mechanical properties of 6005 and 6082 aluminium alloys, Journal of Materials Processing Technology 162-163 (2005) 367-372.
- [6] A.K. Gupta, P.H. Marois, D.J. Lloyd, Review of the techniques for the extraction of second-phase particles from aluminium alloys, Materials Characterization 37: 61-81 (1996) 61-80.
- [7] R.A. Jeniski Jr, Effect of Cr additioon the microstructure and mechanical behavior of 6061-T6 continuously cast and rolled redraw rod, Materials Science and Engineering A237 (1997) 52-64.
- [8] M. Warmuzek, G. Mrówka, J. Sieniawski, Influence of the heat treatment on the precipitation of the intermetallic phases in commercial AlMn1FeSi alloy, Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 157-158 (2004) 624-632.
- [9] Y.L. Liu, S.B. Kang, H.W. Kim, The complex microstructures in as-cast Al-Mg-Si alloy, Materials Letters 41 (1999) 267-272.
- [10] L.F. Mondolfo, Aluminium Alloys, Structure and Properties. Butterworths, London-Boston, 1976.
- [11] M. Warmuzek,K. Rabczak, J. Sieniawski, The course of the peritectic transformation in the Al-rich Al–Fe–Mn–Si alloys, Journal of Materials Processing Technology 162-163 (2005) 422-428.
- [12] M. Warmuzek, J. Sieniawski, K. Wicher, G. Mrówka-Nowotnik, The study of distribution of the transition metals and Si during primary precipitation of the intermetallic phases in Al-Mn-Si alloys, Journal of Materials Processing Technology 175 1-3 (2006) 421-426.
- [13] S.R. Claves, D.L. Elias, W.Z. Misiolek, Analysis of the Intermatallic Phase Transformation Occurring During Homogenization of 6xxx Aluminum Alloys. Mater Sci Forum 396-402 (2002) 667-674.
- [14] M. Warmuzek. et al, Influence of heat treatment on the precipitation of the intermetallic phases in AlMn1FeSi, Proc. Int. Conf. "Achievements in Mechanical & Materials Engineering" Gliwice-Zakopane (2002)601-604.
- [15] L.A. Dobrzański, W. Borek, R. Maniara, Influence of the crystallization condition on Al–Si–Cu casting alloys structure, Journal of of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering 18, 1-2 (2006) 211-214.