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ABSTRACT

Purpose: The paper presents results of the effect of structural defects on the process of magnetization in high magnetic fields in metallic glasses based on amorphous Fe$_{60}$Co$_{10}$W$_2$Me$_2$Y$_8$B$_{18}$ (where Me=Mo, Nb)

Design/methodology/approach: Bulk amorphous material samples were obtained with the method of rapid radial cooling in the copper liquid-cooled mould in a protective atmosphere of inert gas. The samples in the state after solidification were then examined using a vibrating magnetometer in the magnetic fields up to 2T. Static magnetic hysteresis loops and primary magnetization curve were recorded.

Findings: Changing a small amount of the element from the group of transition metals have a significant effect on the magnetic properties of the produced alloy. Depending on the substituent also the type of defects identified in the examined materials changes.

Research limitations/implications: It is advisable to conduct studies on samples with compositions close to studied in this work for better prediction of magnetic properties of materials.

Practical implications: Bulk amorphous metallic glass are used in the electrical industry as cores in modern high-efficiency high-power transformers.

Originality/value: W Paper presents studies on the influence of structure defects on the process of primary magnetization for amorphous Fe$_{60}$Co$_{10}$W$_2$Mo$_2$Y$_8$B$_{18}$ and Fe$_{60}$Co$_{10}$W$_2$Nb$_2$Y$_8$B$_{18}$ alloys. Alloys of given composition has not yet been tested for the influence of defects on the magnetization process.
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1. Introduction

The H. Kronmuler method allows the determination of the structural defects occurring in amorphous materials [1-6]. As is known, internal stresses created during the rapid cooling during the manufacture of the bulk amorphous alloys, cause local density fluctuations, and thus have a significant influence on the magnetic properties of these materials [1,4,6,7]. Due to the presence of local density inhomogeneities, these materials are characterized...
by a greater energy in the state after solidification, and while trying to minimize energy, the spontaneous transition to the crystalline state occurs. This process takes place even at relatively low temperatures (e.g. at room temperature, but the time it takes to transition from the amorphous to the crystalline state is sufficiently long). The intensity of this process depends both on the heat treatment conditions and the chemical composition of the alloy. For this reason, it is believed that the amorphous state is a metastable state.

Density fluctuations manifest themselves by the occurrence of point defects and their linear conglomerates, called quasi-dislocation dipoles, which are present in the sample volume. If in the amorphous material structural defects are present, the difference between the saturation magnetization and the magnetization of the sample can be defined as follows:

$$\Delta M = \Delta M_{\text{ins}} + \Delta M_{\text{para}} + \Delta M_{\text{def}}$$

(1)

where $\Delta M_{\text{ins}}$ is caused by internal fluctuations (local differences in in density, anisotropy) which can be omitted because of its small contribution [1, 4], $\Delta M_{\text{para}}$ is associated with the external magnetic field in the internal spin waves damping phenomenon, and for the $\Delta M_{\text{def}}$ defects within the structure of the metallic glass is responsible.

To describe the magnetization in strong magnetic fields for amorphous materials, theory called approach to ferromagnetic glass is responsible. For this reason, it is believed that the amorphous state is a metastable state.

$$\mu_0 M(H) = \mu_0 M_{s} \left[ 1 - \frac{a_1}{(\mu_0 H)^{1/2}} - \frac{a_2}{(\mu_0 H)^3} \right] + b(\mu_0 H)^{1/2}$$

(2)

where $\mu_0$ - permeability of vacuum; $H$ - magnetic field intensity; $M_s$ - spontaneous magnetization; $a_i$ - the directional coefficients of the linear fit (responsible for the free volume and linear defects; $i=1,2,3$); $b$ - directional factor for linear fit corresponds to the damping of thermally excited spin waves by a magnetic field - Holstein-Primakoff paraprocess [9].

The analysis is performed by designating factors $a_i/(\mu_0 H)^{1/2}$. They describe the deviation from the saturation magnetization, which is caused by non-uniformity of local polarization vectors.

The free volume, the equivalent of vacancies in the crystal lattice parameter affect on the $a_{1,2}/(\mu_0 H)^{1/2}$ parameter, which can be defined as [5, 6]

$$\frac{a_{1,2}}{(\mu_0 H)^{1/2}} = \mu_0 \frac{3}{20 A_0} \left[ \frac{1+r^2}{1-r} \right] \frac{G^2 \kappa^2 (\Delta V)^2 N}{2 A_0 (\mu_0 M_s)^{1/2}} \frac{2 A_0}{(\mu_0 H)^{1/2}}$$

(3)

where $N$ - bulk density of point defects; $\Delta V$ - volume change evoked by point defects; $A_{\text{ex}}$ - exchange constant; $r$ - Poisson’s factor; $G_{\text{el}}$ - elastic modulus; $\lambda_{\text{el}}$ - saturation magnetization.

Occurrence of factors $\frac{a_1}{(\mu_0 H)}$ and $\frac{a_2}{(\mu_0 H)^3}$ described by [4,10]:

$$\frac{a_1}{(\mu_0 H)} = 1,1 \mu_0 G^2 A^2 \frac{N b_{\text{eff}}}{(1-r)^2} \frac{D_{\text{dip}}}{M_s^2} \frac{1}{(\mu_0 H)^3}$$

(4)

and [4, 10]:

$$\frac{a_2}{(\mu_0 H)} = 0,456 \mu_0 G^2 A^2 \frac{N b_{\text{eff}}}{(1-r)^2} \frac{D_{\text{dip}}}{M_s^2} \frac{1}{(\mu_0 H)^3}$$

(5)

where $D_{\text{dip}}$ - width of the dipoles; $N$ - bulk density; $b_{\text{eff}}$ - effective Burgers vector, is due to the presence of conglomerates of free volumes (linear defects) in the amorphous structure. The first occurs when the width of the dipole $D_{\text{dip}}$ is related to the inverse of the exchange distance $l_H$ [2,5,6] by dependence $l_H^2 D_{\text{dip}} < 1$.

$$l_H = \left( \frac{2 A_0}{\mu_0 M_s} \right)^{1/2}$$

(6)

Exchange distance $A_{\text{ex}}$ is related to $D_{\text{eff}}$ by dependence [4, 11]

$$A_{\text{ex}} = \frac{M_0 D_{\text{eff}}}{2 A_0}$$

(7)

In the second case, where $l_H^2 D_{\text{dip}} > 1$ the dominant becomes the factor $\frac{a_2}{(\mu_0 H)^3}$.

Above the limit value of the field $H_0$ [T] structural defects no longer have effect on the process of magnetization. In this area, the magnetization can be described by the relationship $b(\mu_0 H)^{1/2}$. Then damping of thermally excited spin waves by the external magnetic field occurs - there is the Holstein-Primakoff process.

2. Research

The study investigated the effect of Me=(Mo, Nb) on the type of defects in the structure of the bulk Fe60Co10W2Me2Y8B18 amorphous alloys. Ingots of these alloys obtained by arc melting using the method of least squares.

The study investigated the effect of Me=(Mo, Nb) on the type of defects in the structure of the bulk Fe60Co10W2Me2Y8B18 amorphous alloys. Ingots of these alloys obtained by arc melting using the method of least squares.
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Fig. 1. Hysteresis loop for the Fe_{60}Co_{10}W_2Mo_2Y_8B_{18} alloy in the as-quenched state

Fig. 2. Hysteresis loop for the Fe_{60}Co_{10}W_2Nb_2Y_8B_{18} alloy in the as-quenched state

Fig. 3. Coercivity field for the Fe_{60}Co_{10}W_2Mo_2Y_8B_{18} alloy

Fig. 4. Coercivity field for the Fe_{60}Co_{10}W_2Mo_2Y_8B_{18} alloy

Fig. 5. Initial magnetization curve for Fe_{60}Co_{10}W_2Mo_2Y_8B_{18}
On Figs. 7-9, high field magnetization curves are shown for the Fe<sub>60</sub>Co<sub>10</sub>W<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>8</sub>B<sub>18</sub> alloy.

The linear dependence of the reduced magnetization from ($\mu_0 H$)<sup>-1/2</sup> (Fig. 7) in the field range from 0.054 T to 0.42 T indicates that in this respect the process of magnetizing is associated with the rotation of the magnetic moments near the point defects. In fields from 0.42 T to 0.86 T were observed linear dependence of the reduced magnetization as a function of ($\mu_0 H$)<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 8). In this range of the magnetic fields, on the sample magnetization most influence had line defects, so-called quasi-dislocation dipoles. Further increase of the magnetization for ($\mu_0 H$)<sup>-1</sup> > 0.86 T is associated with damping of thermally excited spin waves by a magnetic field, (Fig. 9).

Fig. 6. Initial magnetization curve for Fe<sub>60</sub>Co<sub>10</sub>W<sub>2</sub>Nb<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>8</sub>B<sub>18</sub>,

Fig. 7. Magnetization Fe<sub>60</sub>Co<sub>10</sub>W<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>8</sub>B<sub>18</sub> in function of ($\mu_0 H$)<sup>-1/2</sup>

Fig. 8. Magnetization of Fe<sub>60</sub>Co<sub>10</sub>W<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>8</sub>B<sub>18</sub> in function of ($\mu_0 H$)<sup>-1</sup>

Fig. 9. Magnetization of Fe<sub>60</sub>Co<sub>10</sub>W<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>8</sub>B<sub>18</sub> in function of ($\mu_0 H$)<sup>-1/2</sup>

Fig. 10. Magnetization of Fe<sub>60</sub>Co<sub>10</sub>W<sub>2</sub>Nb<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>8</sub>B<sub>18</sub> in function of ($\mu_0 H$)<sup>-1/2</sup>

Fig. 11. Magnetization of Fe<sub>60</sub>Co<sub>10</sub>W<sub>2</sub>Nb<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>8</sub>B<sub>18</sub> in function of ($\mu_0 H$)<sup>-2</sup>

In the case of the Fe<sub>60</sub>Co<sub>10</sub>W<sub>2</sub>Nb<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>8</sub>B<sub>18</sub> alloy also observed a linear relationship of $M/M_s((\mu_0 H)^{-1/2})$ in field range from 0.08 T to 0.35 T (Fig. 10), which indicates the presence of point defects.
in the sample. In the range from 0.35 T to 1 T on magnetization process linear defects influenced, as evidenced by the reduced linear dependence of the magnetization \((\mu_0 H)^2\) (Fig. 11). At higher magnetic fields above 1 T, as in the previous alloy, Holstein-Primakoff process was observed (Fig. 12).

Results of the analysis of high-field magnetization curves are summarized in Table 1.
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Fig. 12. Magnetization of Fe\(_{60}\)Co\(_{10}\)W\(_2\)Mo\(_2\)Y\(_8\)B\(_{18}\) in function of \((\mu_0 H)/2\).

Table 1. Data obtained from the analysis of magnetization as a function of magnetic field to the powers of: \(-1/2\), \(-1\), \(-2\) and \(1/2\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(H_c) [mT]</th>
<th>(b) (10^{-2} T^{-1/2})</th>
<th>(a_2) (T^{-2})</th>
<th>(a_1) (T^{-1})</th>
<th>(a_{1/2}) (T^{-1/2})</th>
<th>(M_s) [T]</th>
<th>(\mu_0 H_p) [T]</th>
<th>(D_{spf}) (meV\cdot nm^2)</th>
<th>(A_{dip}) (10^{-13} \text{J/m})</th>
<th>(N_{dip}) (10^{19} \text{m}^{-2})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.08508</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04046</td>
<td>0.0610937</td>
<td>1.0286</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>34.34</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.16418</td>
<td>0.0386076</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15607</td>
<td>0.951676</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subsequent row of the table means \(H_c\) - coercivity, \(b\) - directional coefficient for fit of power \(1/2\), \(a_2\) - directional coefficient for fit of power \(-2\), \(a_1\) - directional coefficient for fit of power \(-1/2\), \(M_s\) - saturation magnetization, \(\mu_0 H_p\) - field, above which is observed paraproces, \(D_{spf}\) - spin-wave stiffness parameter, \(A_{dip}\) - width of the dipoles, \(N_{dip}\) - density of quasi-dislocational dipoles.

For the amorphous Fe\(_{60}\)Co\(_{10}\)W\(_2\)Mo\(_2\)Y\(_8\)B\(_{18}\) alloy conglomerates of linear defects occurred of type I, which allowed the determination of the density \(N_{dip}\) of those defects. For the Fe\(_{60}\)Co\(_{10}\)W\(_2\)Nb\(_2\)Y\(_8\)B\(_{18}\) sample, due to the large number of conglomerates of type II linear defect density of structural defects designation was not possible.

### 3. Conclusions

In this work, the influence of defects on the process of the magnetization for the amorphous Fe\(_{60}\)Co\(_{10}\)W\(_2\)Mo\(_2\)Y\(_8\)B\(_{18}\) and Fe\(_{60}\)Co\(_{10}\)W\(_2\)Nb\(_2\)Y\(_8\)B\(_{18}\) alloys was studied. The static hysteresis loops characters indicate, that the samples were soft magnetic amorphous alloys. Exchange Mo by Nb dopant has increased the coercive field and caused the reduction of saturation magnetization. It should be noted for the occurrence of invar effect in alloy containing Nb.

In the studied amorphous alloys magnetization in strong fields affect both point defects and quasi-dislocation dipoles. However, a decisive role in the process of magnetization of amorphous Fe\(_{60}\)Co\(_{10}\)W\(_2\)Mo\(_2\)Y\(_8\)B\(_{18}\) alloy the greatest role plays the conglomerate of free volume of type I, while in alloy Fe\(_{60}\)Co\(_{10}\)W\(_2\)Nb\(_2\)Y\(_8\)B\(_{18}\) magnetization process is related to the second type of linear defects.

### References

