



of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering VOLUME 61 ISSUE 2 December 2013

The influence of chemical composition on structure and mechanical properties of austenitic Cr-Ni steels

A. Kurc-Lisiecka*, M. Kciuk

Institute of Engineering Materials and Biomaterials, Silesian University of Technology, ul. Konarskiego 18a, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland

* Corresponding e-mail address: agnieszka.kurc@polsl.pl

Received 21.10.2013; published in revised form 01.12.2013

Materials

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The aim of the paper is to investigated the influence of the chemical composition on the structure and mechanical properties of austenitic Cr-Ni steels. Special attention was put on the effect of solution heat treatment on mechanical properties of examined steels.

Design/methodology/approach: The examinations of static tensile tests were conducted on ZWICK 100N5A. Hardness measurements were made by Vickers method. The X-ray analyzes were realized with the use of Dron 2.0 diffractometer equipped with the lamp of the cobalt anode. The metallographic observations were carried out on LEICA MEF 4A light microscope.

Findings: Results shown that after solution heat treatment the values of strength properties (UTS, YS0.2) and hardness (HV) of both investigated steels decrease and their elongation (EL) increases. The X5CrNi18-8 steel in delivery state shown austenitic microstructure with twins and numerous non-metallic inclusions, while in steel X10CrNi18-8 revealed a austenitic microstructure with numerous slip bands in areas with deformation martensite α '. The examined steels after solution heat treatment followed by water-cooling has the structure of austenite.

Research limitations/implications: To investigate in more detail the influence of chemical composition on structure and mechanical properties the examinations of substructure by TEM should be conducted.

Originality/value: The relationship between the solution heat treatment, structure and mechanical properties of investigated steels was specified.

Keywords: Austenitic steel; Chemical composition; Mechanical properties; Static tensile test; X-ray analysis

Reference to this paper should be given in the following way:

A. Kurc-Lisiecka, M. Kciuk, The influence of chemical composition on structure and mechanical properties of austenitic Cr-Ni steels, Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering 61/2 (2013) 210-215.

1. Introduction

Austenitic Cr-Ni stainless steels possess many favorable mechanical properties, including high strength, good ductility, excellent corrosion resistance and a reasonable weldability. Consequently, these materials have found widespread application under both low and high loading rate conditions in a diverse range of Fields [1-3].

Austenitic steels have a large application in production of tank containers, pressure pipes for liquid and gaseous nitrogen, and also for high strength and ductile fine wires. Their continuing development has resulted in complex steel compositions with substantial amounts of alloying elements. These alloying elements are of course introduced in the steel for one or more reasons but the final aim is mainly to obtain better mechanical properties (especially high creep strength and high creep-rupture ductility) and/or higher corrosion resistance (especially oxidation resistance in the case of high temperature application) [4-6].

Alloying elements can be found in the free state; as intermetallic compound with iron or with each other; as oxides, sulfides, and other nonmetal inclusions; in the form of carbides; or as a solution in iron As to the character of their distribution in steel, alloying elements may be divided into two groups:

- Elements that do not form carbides in steel (e.g. Ni, Si, Co, Al, Cu and N)
- Elements that form stable carbides in steel (e.g. Cr, Mn, Mo, W, V, Ti, Zr, and Nb).

Chromium (16-28%wt) is the most important alloying element in Cr-Ni stainless steels. It is this element that gives their basic corrosion resistance. The corrosion resistance increases with increasing chromium content. It also increases the resistance to oxidation at high temperatures [3].

The main reason for the Ni addition (3,5-32%wt.) is to promote an austenitic structure. Nickel generally increases ductility and toughness. It also reduces the corrosion rate and is thus advantageous in acid environments [7-9].

The Mn addition (2-6%wt.), near to the small contents of carbon (usually below 0.1%wt.), allow for obtaining the stable austenitic structure at the room temperature.

Moreover these steel can contain such elements as molybdenum (2-6%wt.), which substantially increases the resistance to both general and localized corrosion. It reduces the intensity of the oxidizing effect required to insule passivity and decrease the tendency of previously formed passive films to break down.

In austenitic Cr-Ni steels the important addition is titanium, because of increasing the resistance to intergranular corrosion. It also increases the mechanical properties at high temperatures [10].

Nitrogen is a very strong austenite former and strongly promotes an austenitic structure. It also substantially increases the mechanical strength. Nitrogen affect on the resistance to localized corrosion, especially in combination with molybdenum [8].

In investigated steels, the strengthening mechanisms are based on the precipitation of fine dispersed carbide (NbC). In this steels, as far as corrosion resistance is concerned, it is well known that stabilising the grade by Nb additions prevents the risk of intergranual corrosion in heat affected zones. To prevent this the niobium is added in sufficient amounts, depending on the carbon and nitrogen levels [5-9].

Mechanical properties of Cr-Ni austenitic steel strongly depend of their microstructure which is controlled by phase transformation, precipitation or recrystallization. The yield strength of austenitic stainless steels can be increased by cold working. The microstructure of the austenitic stainless steels consists of metastable austenite phase, which may be transformed to strain-induced martensite phase during deformation of the steel. Two types of martensite phases can be formed: ε -martensite (hexagonal close-paced, paramagnetic phase) or α '-martensite (body-centered tetragonal, ferromagnetic phase). The amount of α ' and ε martensite is controlled by following parameters: temperatures - M_s and M_{d30} and stacking fault energy (SFE) of the steel [11-12].

Several empirical equations have been determined to calculate the values of M_s and M_{d30} and SFE. The Equation 1 formulated by Eichelman and Hull [13] gives an approximation the temperature of α ' martensite formation (M_s). This temperature is strongly connected with the chemical composition of the alloy and for all 300 austenitic stainless steels, it can be calculated by the formula:

$$M_{s}(^{\circ}C) = 502 - 810(\% C) - 1230(\% N) - 13(\% Mn) - 30(\% Ni) - 12(\% Cr) - 54(\% Cu) - 6(\% Mo)$$
(1)

All alloying elements, except cobalt, dissolved in austenite affect the temperature $M_{\rm s}$.

The dependence of temperature M_{d30} with a composition of cold rolled 18-8, 18-12 and 18-12-Ti austenitic grades formulated by Angel [14] (eq.2):

$$\begin{split} M_{d30}(^{\circ}\text{C}) &= 497 - 462(\% \ [\text{C} + \text{N}]) - 9.2(\% \ \text{Si}) - 8.1(\% \ \text{Mn}) - \\ &13.7(\% \ \text{Cr}) - 20(\% \ \text{Ni}) - 18.5(\% \ \text{Mo}) \end{split} \tag{2}$$

The stacking fault energy (SFE) plays an essential role in the strain-induced martensite transformations. It can be estimated as a function of the chemical composition from empirical relation given by Schramm and Reed [15,16] such as (eq.3):

SFE
$$(mJ/m^2) = -53 + 6.2(\%Ni) + 0.7(\%Cr) + 3.2(\%Mn) + 9.3(\%Mo)$$
 (3)

The aim of these investigations is to determine the influence of the chemical composition on the structure and mechanical properties of austenitic Cr-Ni steels.

2. Experimental procedure

The investigation were carried out on two industrially manufactured austenitic steel grade X5CrNi18-8 and X10CrNi18-8, with a chemical composition given in Table 1. The materials used for the investigations was delivered in the form of 2-mm thick sheet and was solution heat treated at the temperature 1100°C for 1 hour and then cooled down in water. Heat treatment was realized in an electric furnace chamber, which was equipped in temperature regulator with an accuracy of $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C. After heat treatment the sheets were sampled for research of the mechanical properties, for microhardness measurements, metallographic observations and the X-ray phase analysis.

Based on the chemical composition of the investigated steels the value of the stacking fault energy of the austenitic γ -phase SFE were calculated. The SFE of steel X5CrNi18-8 is about 15.82 (mJ/m²) and the steel X10CrNi18-8 is on the level 14.33 (mJ/m²).

The mechanical properties of investigated steels were determined applying static tensile test and measurements of the hardness.

Static tensile tests were carried out at room temperature on the universal testing machine ZWICK 100N5A. The specimens used for mechanical properties measurements were determined according to EN ISO 6892-1: 2009 [17]. The dimension and shape of the samples used in tensile test is presented in Fig. 1.

Chemical composition of the investigated steels										
Grade of steel	Kind of analysis -	Mass contents in percentage (%)								
		С	Mn	Si	Р	S	Cr	Ni	Ν	Мо
X5CrNi18-8	ladle analysis	0.047	1.120	0.42	0.028	0.006	18.35	8.06	0.06	0.28
X10CrNi18-8		0.810	0.919	0.39	0.042	0.006	18.21	8.33	-	-

Table 1.



Fig. 1. The dimension and shape samples used in tensile tests [17]

The hardness measurements of the analyzed steels were carried out by a hardness tester PMT-3 produced by Hauser, according to the standard PN-EN ISO 6507-1:2007 [18]. Researches were made by Vickers's method on metallographic samples with a load of 50g.

Microstructure observations of the specimens etched in Adler reagent [19] were carried out on LEICA MEF 4A light microscope, with magnifications 200x.

The X-ray tests were realized with the use of Dron 2.0 diffractometer equipped with the lamp of the cobalt anode ($\lambda CoK_{\alpha} = 0.17889$ nm) of 40 kV voltage and 20 mA filament current was used. Diffraction tests were carried out in the 2 Θ angle range from 20 to 90° (measurement step 0.05°). Pulse counting time was 4s. The obtained diffractions patterns were analyzed applying the PCPDFWIN program.

3. Results and discussion

The metallographic investigations permit to assess the steel structure of two austenitic steels grade X5CrNi18-8 and X10CrNi18-8 in the delivery and supersaturation state. The results of metallographic observations have been presented on microphotos (Figs. 2-3).

The structure of the investigated X5CrNi18-8 steel in the delivery state characterized austenite grains with annealing twins and some non-metallic inclusions (Fig. 2a). In the delivery state the structure of X5CrNi18-8 steel does not show the metallographic symptom of deformation. However, in X10CrNi18-8 steel in delivery state besides the austenite grains, twins and numerous slip band inside the grains of γ phase, the areas of α ' martensite were observed. Austenite grains characterized with some deformation (Fig. 2b).

After solution heat treatment at 1100°C the examined steels has the austenitic single-phase structure (Fig. 3a,b).

The results of the mechanical properties of investigated steels in delivery and solution heat treatment state are presented in the Tables 2 and 3. On the basis of the realized tensile tests the tensile strength UTS and yield point $YS_{0.2}$ were determined, additionally the measurements of the hardness were carried out.

Steel X10CrNi18-8 in the delivery state is characterized by high value of strength properties (UTS, $YS_{0,2}$) and hardness as well as very low elongation (EL), in comparison to steel X5CrNi18-8 in the same condition.





Fig. 2. Structure of the investigated steels in the delivery state: a) Steel X5CrNi18-8, b) Steel X10CrNi-18-8, Etching- Adler

Materials





Fig. 3. Structure of the investigated steels after solutioning heat treatment at 1100°C: a) Steel X5CrNi18-8, b) Steel X10CrNi-18-8, Etching- Adler

a) 2500 3000 220) (111) 2500 2000 Intensity, [imp./s] Intensity, [imp./s] 2000 (220) 1500 1500 1000 1000 500 500 70 80 90 50 60 50 60 70 80 90 Angle of reflection, 20 [°] Angle of reflection, 20 [°]

Fig. 4. X-ray diffraction patterns of investigated steels in the delivery state: a) steel X5CrNi18-8, b) steel X10CrNi18-8

The tensile strength of X10CrNi18-8 steel in the delivery state is about 1327 MPa, the yield point is about 1287 MPa, the Vickers hardness is about 392 HV and the elongation is only 1.48% (Table 2). However, steel X5CrNi18-8 in the same state shows lower values of UTS = 630 MPa, $YS_{0,2}$ = 302 MPa, HV = 160 MPa and higher value of EL = 53% (Table 2).

In the samples of both steels after solution heat treatment at 1100°C no significant difference was stated in the value of mechanical properties and hardness, but it should be note that steel X10CrNi18-8 show much lower values of elongation in opposite to steel X5CrNi18-8 (Table 3). Solution heat treatment removes the strain hardening of the material in delivery state and provides single-phase austenitic structure, so the strength properties of investigated steels were decreased.

On the basis of examinations of the mechanical properties it was found that in the delivery state the X10CrNi18-8 steel is strengthened, possibly by the presence of martensite α ' phase in its structure.

Table 2.

Mechanical properties and microhardness of investigated steels in delivery state

Mechanical properties						
UTS	$\overline{YS_{0.2}}$	EL	HV			
MPa	MPa	%				
630	302	53	160			
1327	1287	1.48	392			
	UTS MPa 630 1327	Mechanical UTS YS _{0.2} MPa MPa 630 302 1327 1287	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			

Table 3.

Mechanical properties and microhardness of investigated steels after solution heat treatment at 1100°C

	Mechanical properties					
Grade of steel						
	UTS	$YS_{0.2}$	EL	HV		
	MPa	MPa	%			
X5CrNi18-8	510	250	61	145		
X10CrNi18-8	670	360	23	203		

b)



Nº		Identification (ICDD)					
	Angle of reflection [°20]	Interplanar distance d [Å]	Intensity I/I _{max} [%]	Interplanar distance d _{hkl} [Å]	Intensity [%]	(hkl)	Phase
1	51.1621	2.0716	100	2.0750	100	111	γ
2	59.8128	1.7940	62	1.7961	45	200	γ
3	89.5896	1.2695	66	1.2697	26	220	γ
1	51.1681	2.0715	57	2.0750	100	111	γ
2	52.0086	2.0403	19	2.0268	100	110	α'
3	59.5851	1.8003	65	1.7961	45	200	γ
4	89.4978	1.2705	100	1.2697	26	220	γ
	N° 1 2 3 1 2 3 4	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c }\hline N^{\circ} & \hline Angle of reflection \\ [^{\circ}2\Theta] \\\hline 1 & 51.1621 \\\hline 2 & 59.8128 \\\hline 3 & 89.5896 \\\hline 1 & 51.1681 \\\hline 2 & 52.0086 \\\hline 3 & 59.5851 \\\hline 4 & 89.4978 \\\hline \end{array}$	Nº Angle of reflection [°2Θ] Interplanar distance d [Å] 1 51.1621 2.0716 2 59.8128 1.7940 3 89.5896 1.2695 1 51.1681 2.0715 2 52.0086 2.0403 3 59.5851 1.8003 4 89.4978 1.2705	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Experimental \\ \hline Experimental \\ \hline N^0 & Angle of reflection & Interplanar & Intensity \\ [^02\Theta] & distance d [Å] & I/I_{max} [\%] \\ \hline 1 & 51.1621 & 2.0716 & 100 \\ \hline 2 & 59.8128 & 1.7940 & 62 \\ \hline 3 & 89.5896 & 1.2695 & 66 \\ \hline 1 & 51.1681 & 2.0715 & 57 \\ \hline 2 & 52.0086 & 2.0403 & 19 \\ \hline 3 & 59.5851 & 1.8003 & 65 \\ \hline 4 & 89.4978 & 1.2705 & 100 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Experimental & II \\ \hline Experimental & Interplanar \\ [20] & Interplanar \\ [20] & Interplanar \\ [20] & Interplanar \\ [20] & Interplanar \\ [1] & Interplanar \\ $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Experimental & Identification (Identification (Identification$	N°ExperimentalIdentification (ICDD)N°Angle of reflection [2Θ]Interplanar distance d [Å]Intensity I/I_{max} [%]Interplanar distance d_hkl [Å]Intensity [%](hkl)151.16212.07161002.0750100111259.81281.7940621.796145200389.58961.2695661.269726220151.16812.0715572.0750100111252.00862.0403192.0268100110359.58511.8003651.796145200489.49781.27051001.269726220

Table 4.						
Results of the X-ray	phase analy	ysis of the	e analysed	steels in	delivery	state

The X-ray investigation allowed to identify the phase composition of analysed steels in the delivery state and after solution heat treatment. The results obtained for samples in the delivery state are presented in Fig. 4 a,b and Table 4.

The results of diffraction patterns of phase analysis of steel X5CrNi18-8 revealed the presence only of austenite (γ -phase), while in the steel X10CrNi18-8 the α '-martensite too occur. On diffraction patterns of X10CrNi18-8 steel in the delivered state disclosed diffraction lines coming from planes (111), (200), (220) austenite phase and (110) α '-martensite phase (Fig. 4b).

Diffraction lines $(111)\gamma$, $(110)\alpha$ ' analysis phases of the X10CrNi18-8 steel in delivery state shows distinct texturing. Perhaps, the presence of α ' phase in delivery state of X10CrNi18-8 steel results from the pretreatment of material, which causes eutectoid changes of the γ phase.

Investigated steels after solution heat treatment at 1100°C exhibits pure austenitic structure

4. Conclusions

The analysis of the obtained results of investigated austenitic Cr-Ni steel allowed to formulate the following statements:

- In the delivery state the X5CrNi18-8 steel structure disclose a typical grains of γ solution with twins and non-metallic inclusions, ensuring the following mechanical properties: tensile strength UTS about 630 MPa, yield strength YS_{0.2} about 302 MPa and hardness about 160.
- The high strength properties of the X10CrNi18-8 steel in delivery state determined the structure of slightly elongated austenite grains with martensite α' phase and numerous slip band inside the grains of γ phase. The tensile strength is about 1327 MPa, the yield strength is about 1287 MPa and hardness is about 392.
- The solution heat treatment at 1100°C of investigated steel causes significant decrease of strength properties and meaningful increase of plasticity.
- X-ray investigations of X10CrNi18-8 steel in delivery state confirmed the occurrence of α' martensite in the steel structure. α' phases were detected on diffraction patterns on the basis of the diffraction lines according to identifications

from $(110)\alpha'$ reflection planes, which occurred with matrix lines γ from $(111)\gamma$, $(200)\gamma$ and $(220)\gamma$ reflection planes.

• Phase analysis of the X5CrNi18-8 steel in delivery state didn't disclose the diffraction lines coming from the α ' martensite phase. Perhaps, the presence of α ' phase in delivery state of X10CrNi18-8 steel results from the pretreatment of material, which causes eutectoid changes of the γ phase.

References

- A.F. Padilha, P.R. Rios, Decomposition of austenite in austenitic stainless steel, The Iron and Steel Institute of Japan 42/4 (2002) 325-337.
- [2] E. Perdahcioglu, H. Geijselaers, Influence of plastic strain on deformation-induced martensitic transformations, Scripta Materialia 58 (2008) 947-950.
- [3] D. Jandova, J. Rehor, Z. Novy, Deformation processes in austenitic stainless steel, Journal of Achievements in Mechanical and Materials Engineering 11 (2002), 254-258.
- [4] S.K. Ghosh, P. Malicki, P.P. Chattopadhyay, Effect of cold deformation on phase evolution and mechanical properties in an austenitic stainless steel for structural and safety applications, Journal of Iron and Steel Research International 19/4 (2012) 63-68.
- [5] W.S. Lee, C.F. Lin, Impact properties and microstructure evolution of 304L stainless steel, Materials Science and Engineering 308A (2001) 124-135.
- [6] K. Pałka, A. Weroński, K. Zalewski, Mechanical properties and corrosion resistance of burnished X5CrNi18-9 stainless steel, Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering 16 (2006) 57-62.
- [7] K.H. Lo, C.H. Shek, J.K.L. Lai, Recent development in stainless steels, Materials Science and Engineering 65R (2009) 39-104.
- [8] P. Hedström, U. Lienert, J. Almer, Stepwise transformation behavior of the strain-induced martensitic transformation in a metastable stainless steel, Scripta Materialia 56 (2007) 213-216.
- [9] J.K. Kim, Y.H. Kim, K.Y. Kim, Influence of Cr, C and Ni on intergranular segregation and precipitation in

Materials

Ti-stabilized stainless steels, Scripta Materialia 63 (2010) 449-451.

- [10] A. Baron, Influence of electrolytic polishing on electrochemical behavior of austenitic steel, Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering 18 (2006) 55-58.
- [11] J. Talonen, P. Nenonen, G. Pape, H. Hänninen, Effect of strain rate on the strain-induced $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha^2$ martensite transformation and mechanical properties of austenitic stainless steels, Metallurgical and Materials Transactions 36A (2005) 421-432.
- [12] M. Kciuk, A. Kurc-Lisiecka, The influence of heat treatment on structure, mechanical properties and corrosion resistance of steel X10CrNi18-8, Archives of Materials Science and Engineering 55/2 (2012) 62-69.
- [13] R. Reed, The spontaneous martensitic transformations in 18%Cr, 8%Ni steels, Acta Metallurgica 10 (1962) 865-877.

- [14] P. Lacombe, B. Baroux, G. Beranger, Stainless Steels, Published by Les Editions De Physique Les Ulis, 1993.
- [15] H. Fujita, S. Ueda, Stacking faults F.C.C. (γ)→H.C.P. (ε) Transformation in 18/8-type stainless steel, Acta Metallurgica 20 (1972) 759-767.
- [16] W. Ozgowicz, A. Kurc, The effect of the cold rolling on the structure and mechanical properties in austenitic stainless steels type 18-8, Archives of Materials Science and Engineering 38/1 (2009) 26-33.
- [17] International Standard EN ISO 6892-1:2009, Metallic Materials - Tensile Testing - Part 1, Method of test at room temperature.
- [18] International Standard EN ISO 6507-1:2007, Metallic materials
 Vickers hardness test Part 1, Test method.
- [19] ASTM E407 Standard Practice for Microetching Metals and Alloys.